

HOM-ALTERNATIVE MODULES AND HOM-POISSON COMODULES

IBRAHIMA BAKAYOKO^{*}

Département de Mathématiques,
UJNK/Centre Universitaire de N'Zérékoré,
BP : 50, N'Zérékoré, Guinée.

BAKARY MANGA[†]

Département de Mathématiques et Informatique,
Université Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar, Sénégal
and

Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques, Porto-Novo, Bénin.

Abstract

In this paper we introduce modules over both left and right Hom-alternative algebras. We give some constructions of left and right Hom-alternative modules and give various properties of both, as well as examples. Then, we prove that morphisms of left alternative algebras extend to morphisms of left Hom-alternative algebras. Next, we introduce comodules over Hom-Poisson coalgebras and show that we may obtain a structure map of a comodule over a Hom-Poisson coalgebra from a given one.

AMS Subject Classification: 17A30, 16S80, 16D10, 17B63.

Keywords: alternative algebras, left Hom-alternative algebras, right Hom-alternative algebras, Hom-Poisson coalgebras, Hom-alternative modules, Hom-Poisson comodules.

1 Introduction

The study of Hom-algebraic structures, that is algebras where the identities defining the structures are twisted by a homomorphism, is an active and prolific field of research. The main motivations of studying such structures come from deformations of Witt and Virasoro algebras ([9], [12]), number theory, Yang-Baxter Equations, quantum groups, etc (see [18] and references therein). There are various settings of Hom-structures such as algebras, coalgebras, Hopf algebras, Leibniz algebras, n -ary algebras, Poisson algebras, alternative algebras, etc (see [6] and references therein).

Left alternative algebras, right alternative algebras and Hom-alternative algebras were introduced by Makhlouf in [13]. Since then, twisted versions of alternative algebras arise: the so-called Hom-alternative algebras. Hom-Poisson algebras, when to them, were introduced in [14] where they appear naturally in the study of 1-parameter formal deformations

^{*}E-mail address: ibrahimabakayoko@yahoo.fr

[†]E-mail address: bakary.manga@ucad.edu.sn, bakary.manga@imsp-uac.org

of commutative Hom-associative algebras. Noncommutative Hom-Poisson algebras are a twisted generalization of noncommutative Poisson algebras. In mathematics, Poisson algebras play a fundamental role in Poisson geometry ([16]), quantum groups ([5]), and deformation of commutative associative algebras ([8]). In physics, Poisson algebras are a major part of deformation quantization ([10]), Hamiltonian mechanics ([1]), and topological field theories ([15]). Poisson-like structures are also used in the study of vertex operator algebras ([7]). Many examples of noncommutative Hom-Poisson algebras are exposed in [17]. Modules over color Hom-Poisson algebras are studied in [2].

As in the case of Hom-associative algebras, Hom-coassociative coalgebras, Hom-Lie algebras, Hom-bialgebras, and Hom-Lie bialgebras, Hom-Poisson coalgebras have a dual version called Hom-coPoisson algebras in [4], where they were introduced and studied. It is shown that starting from classical Poisson coalgebras, we may construct Hom-Poisson coalgebras using Hom-Poisson morphisms. Otherwise, a Hom-Poisson coalgebra give rise to infinitely many Hom-Poisson coalgebras.

The purpose of this paper is to study and present various twisting of modules over left Hom-alternative algebras and comodules over Hom-Poisson coalgebras. In Section 2, we recall basic definitions and properties on left and right Hom-alternative algebras. We give a connection between left alternative algebras and right alternative algebras. Modules over left and right Hom-alternative algebras are introduced in Section 3 where we also prove that twisting a left Hom-alternative module structure by an algebra endomorphism we get another one. We also show that morphisms of left alternative modules extend to morphisms of left Hom-alternative modules. We introduce a version of Hom-associator for module and show that the Hom-alternative module is alternating with right to its first two arguments. Section 4 deals with Hom-Poisson comodules. Some constructions of Hom-Poisson comodules are given and we carry out that starting from a Hom-Poisson comodule we get another one by twisting the module structure map by an algebra endomorphism.

Throughout this paper, $\mathbf{K}$ denotes a field of characteristic 0 so that unless otherwise specified linearity and $\otimes$ are all meant over $\mathbf{K}$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Hom-alternative algebras

Definition 2.1 ([13]). A left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebra is a triple (A, μ, α) consisting of a $\mathbf{K}$ -linear space A , a multiplication $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying, for any $x, y \in A$, the left (resp. right) Hom-alternative identity, that is

$$\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(x, y)) = \mu(\mu(x, x), \alpha(y)) \quad \left[\text{resp. } \mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, y)) = \mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(y)) \right]. \quad (1)$$

A Hom-alternative algebra is one which is both a left and a right Hom-alternative algebra.

Example 2.1. A Hom-associative algebra is a triple (A, μ, α) , where $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow A$ is a bilinear map and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is a linear map satisfying $\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) = \mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z))$, for any x, y and z in A . It is a little matter to verify that a Hom-associative algebra is a Hom-alternative algebra.

Let (A, μ, α) be a left Hom-alternative algebra. We consider the maps $\tau : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ and $-\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ given respectively by $\tau(x, y) = (y, x)$ and $(-\mu)(x, y) = -(\mu(x, y))$, for all x and y in A . Now we define, the multiplication $\mu^{op} : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ by $\mu^{op} = \mu \circ \tau$; that is $\mu^{op}(x, y) = \mu(y, x)$, for any $x, y \in A$. We also set $\mu_k = k\mu$ and $\alpha_k = k\alpha$, for all $k \in \mathbf{K}$. We have the following straightforward result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (A, μ, α) be a left Hom-alternative algebra. Then,*
a) $(A, \mu_k, \alpha_{k'})$ is a left Hom-alternative algebra, for all $k, k' \in \mathbf{K}$;
b) (A, μ^{op}, α) is a right Hom-alternative algebra.

Definition 2.2 ([13]). *Let (A, μ, α) and (A', μ', α') be two Hom-alternative algebras. A linear map $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is said to be a morphism of Hom-alternative algebras if*

$$\mu' \circ (f \otimes f) = f \circ \mu \text{ and } f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f. \quad (2)$$

A usefull characterization of left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebras is given by the

Proposition 2.1 ([13]). *A triple (A, μ, α) is a left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebra if and only if the following identity holds: for any x, y and z in A ,*

$$\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) - \mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z)) + \mu(\alpha(y), \mu(x, z)) - \mu(\mu(y, x), \alpha(z)) = 0, \quad (3)$$

respectively,

$$\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) - \mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z)) + \mu(\alpha(x), \mu(z, y)) - \mu(\mu(x, z), \alpha(y)) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Proposition 2.2 ([13]). *Let (A, μ, α) be a Hom-alternative algebra and $x, y, z \in A$. If x and y anticommute, that is $\mu(x, y) = -\mu(y, x)$, then we have*

$$\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) = -\mu(\alpha(y), \mu(x, z)) \text{ and } \mu(\mu(z, x), \alpha(y)) = -\mu(\mu(z, y), \alpha(x)). \quad (5)$$

Now given a left (resp. right) alternative algebra, one can construct a left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebra (see [13]). Recall that an alternative algebra is a pair (A, μ) , where A is an algebra and $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow A$ is an alternative (not necessarily associative) multiplication; i. e. $\mu(x, \mu(x, y)) = \mu(\mu(x, x), y)$, for any x and y in A .

Lemma 2.2 ([13]). *Let (A, μ) be a left (resp. right) alternative algebra and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ be an algebra endomorphism. Then (A, μ_α, α) , where $\mu_\alpha = \alpha \circ \mu$, is a left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebra.*

Moreover, suppose that (A', μ') is another left (resp. right) alternative algebra and $\alpha' : A' \rightarrow A'$ is an algebra endomorphism. If $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is an algebras morphism that satisfies $\alpha' \circ f = f \circ \alpha$ then, $f : (A, \mu_\alpha, \alpha) \rightarrow (A', \mu'_{\alpha'}, \alpha')$ is a morphism of left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebras.

2.2 Hom-Poisson coalgebras

In the sequel, A is a $\mathbf{K}$ -linear space while σ denotes the linear map $\sigma : A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A \otimes A$ defined by $\sigma(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3) = x_3 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2$.

Definition 2.3 ([4]). A cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra consists of a quadruple $(A, \Delta, \gamma, \alpha)$ in which

- i) (A, Δ, α) is a cocommutative Hom-coassociative coalgebra, i.e. $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is a linear map and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is a linear self map such that

$$\Delta = \tau \circ \Delta \quad (\text{cocommutativity}), \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta \circ \alpha = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta \quad (\text{comultiplicativity}), \quad (7)$$

$$(\alpha \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta \quad (\text{Hom-coassociativity}); \quad (8)$$

- ii) (A, γ, α) is a Hom-Lie coalgebra, i.e. $\gamma : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ are linear maps such that

$$\gamma = -\tau \circ \gamma \quad (\text{skew-cosymmetry}), \quad (9)$$

$$\gamma \circ \alpha = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ \gamma \quad (\text{comultiplicativity}), \quad (10)$$

$$(Id_A + \sigma + \sigma^2) \circ (\alpha \otimes \gamma) \circ \gamma = 0 \quad (\text{Hom-coJacobi identity}); \quad (11)$$

- iii) the Hom-coLeibniz identity holds, i.e.

$$(\alpha \otimes \Delta) \circ \gamma = (\gamma \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta + (\tau \otimes Id_A) \circ (\alpha \otimes \gamma) \circ \Delta. \quad (12)$$

Remark 2.1. With the notations of Definition 2.3, we have the following.

1. If Δ is non-cocommutative, that is Equation (6) is not satisfied, then $(A, \Delta, \gamma, \alpha)$ is said to be a non-cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra.
2. When $\alpha = Id_A$, $(A, \Delta, \gamma, Id_A)$, simply denoted (A, Δ, γ) , is a Poisson coalgebra.
3. In the Sweedler's notation, the conditions (7), (8) and (12) mean respectively that

$$\sum \alpha(x)_1 \otimes \alpha(x)_2 = \sum \alpha(x_1) \otimes \alpha(x_2), \quad (13)$$

$$\sum \alpha(x_1) \otimes x_{21} \otimes x_{22} = \sum x_{11} \otimes x_{12} \otimes \alpha(x_2), \quad (14)$$

$$\sum \alpha(x_{(1)}) \otimes x_{(2)1} \otimes x_{(2)2} = (x_1)_{(1)} \otimes (x_1)_{(2)} \otimes \alpha(x_2) + (x_2)_{(1)} \otimes \alpha(x_1) \otimes (x_2)_{(2)}, \quad (15)$$

where $\Delta(x) = \sum x_1 \otimes x_2$ and $\gamma(x) = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$.

Definition 2.4. Let (A, Δ, α) and (A', Δ', α') be two Hom-coassociative algebras. A linear map $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is said to be a morphism of Hom-coassociative coalgebras if

$$f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \circ f = f^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta.$$

We have a similar definition for morphisms of Hom-Lie coalgebras.

Definition 2.5. If $(A, \Delta, \gamma, \alpha)$ and $(A', \Delta', \gamma', \alpha')$ are Hom-Poisson coalgebras (not necessarily cocommutative), a linear map $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is a morphism of Hom-Poisson coalgebras if it is a morphism of the underlying coassociative coalgebras and Lie coalgebras such that $f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f$.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $(A, \Delta, \gamma, \alpha)$ is a non-cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra, then $(A, \Delta^{op}, \gamma, \alpha)$ and $(A, -\Delta, -\gamma, \alpha)$ are also non-cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebras.

Proof. The fact that $(A, -\Delta, -\gamma, \alpha)$ is a non-cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebras is straightforward and follows easily from Definition 2.3. Now, let us prove that $(A, \Delta^{op}, \gamma, \alpha)$ is also a non-cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra. By hypothesis, (A, γ, α) is a Hom-Lie coalgebra. Thus we have to prove the relations (7), (8) and (12) for Δ^{op} . Let x be in A .

1) Comultiplicativity of Δ^{op} :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Delta^{op} \circ \alpha)(x) &= \Delta^{op}(\alpha(x)) \\
 &= (\alpha(x))_2 \otimes (\alpha(x))_1 \\
 &= \alpha(x_2) \otimes \alpha(x_1) \text{ (by (13))} \\
 &= \alpha^{\otimes 2}(x_2 \otimes x_1) \\
 &= \alpha^{\otimes 2}(\Delta^{op}(x)) \\
 &= (\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta^{op})(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

2) Hom-coassociativity of Δ^{op} :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha \otimes \Delta^{op}) \circ \Delta^{op}(x) &= (\alpha \otimes \Delta^{op})(\tau \circ \Delta(x)) \\
 &= (\alpha \otimes \Delta^{op})(x_2 \otimes x_1) \\
 &= \alpha(x_2) \otimes \Delta^{op}(x_1) \\
 &= \alpha(x_2) \otimes \tau(\Delta(x_1)) \\
 &= \alpha(x_2) \otimes x_{12} \otimes x_{11} \\
 &= x_{22} \otimes x_{21} \otimes \alpha(x_1) \text{ (by (14))} \\
 &= \tau(x_{21} \otimes x_{22}) \otimes \alpha(x_1) \\
 &= (\tau \circ \Delta)(x_2) \otimes \alpha(x_1) \\
 &= \Delta^{op}(x_2) \otimes \alpha(x_1) \\
 &= (\Delta^{op}(x_2) \otimes \alpha(x_1)) \\
 &= (\Delta^{op} \otimes \alpha)(x_2 \otimes x_1) \\
 &= (\Delta^{op} \otimes \alpha)(\tau \circ \Delta(x)) \\
 &= (\Delta^{op} \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta^{op}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

3) Hom-coLeibniz identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha \otimes \Delta^{op}) \circ \gamma(x) &= \alpha(x_{(1)}) \otimes x_{(2)2} \otimes x_{(2)1} \\
 &= (Id_A \otimes \tau)(\alpha(x_{(1)}) \otimes x_{(2)1} \otimes x_{(2)2}) \\
 &= (Id_A \otimes \tau)((x_1)_{(1)} \otimes (x_1)_{(2)} \otimes \alpha(x_2) + (x_2)_{(1)} \otimes \alpha(x_1) \otimes (x_2)_{(2)}) \text{ (by (15))} \\
 &= (x_1)_{(1)} \otimes \alpha(x_2) \otimes (x_1)_{(2)} + (x_2)_{(1)} \otimes (x_2)_{(2)} \otimes \alpha(x_1) \\
 &= (\tau \otimes Id_A)(\alpha(x_2) \otimes (x_1)_{(1)} \otimes (x_1)_{(2)}) + \gamma(x_2) \otimes \alpha(x_1) \\
 &= (\tau \otimes Id_A) \circ (\alpha \otimes \gamma)(x_2 \otimes x_1) + (\gamma \otimes \alpha)(x_2 \otimes x_1) \\
 &= (\tau \otimes Id_A) \circ (\alpha \otimes \gamma) \circ \Delta^{op}(x) + (\gamma \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta^{op}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(A, \Delta^{op}, \gamma, \alpha)$ is a non-cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra. $\square$

The Lemma below (see also [4, Corollary 3.3]) allows to get a Hom-Poisson coalgebra (not necessarily cocommutative) from a Poisson coalgebra (not necessarily cocommutative) via a Poisson coalgebra endomorphism. Let (A, Δ, γ) be a Poisson coalgebra and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ be a Poisson coalgebra endomorphism. Set $\Delta_\alpha = \Delta \circ \alpha$ and $\gamma_\alpha = \gamma \circ \alpha$.

Lemma 2.4 ([4]). *The quadruple $(A, \Delta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha, \alpha)$ is a Hom-Poisson coalgebra (not necessarily commutative).*

3 Modules over left Hom-alternative algebras

In this section, we introduce modules over left Hom-alternative algebras. We prove that they are close under twisting. Then we show that left alternative algebras morphisms extend to morphisms of left Hom-alternative algebras and we give a relationship between modules over left Hom-alternative algebras and modules over right Hom-alternative algebras.

Definition 3.1. *Let (A, μ, α) be a left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebra and (M, β) be a Hom-module. An A -module structure on M consists of a $\mathbf{K}$ -bilinear map $\mu_M : A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ (resp. $\mu_M : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$) such that for any $x, y \in A$ and every $m \in M$,*

$$\mu_M(\alpha(x), \mu_M(x, m)) = \mu_M(\mu(x, x), \beta(m)), \text{ resp. } \mu_M(\mu_M(m, x), \alpha(x)) = \mu_M(\beta(m), \mu(x, x)). \quad (16)$$

If M carries a A -module structure, then it is called a module over A or an A -module.

Example 3.1. Any left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebra is a module over itself.

Example 3.2. Let (A, μ, α) be a multiplicative left Hom-alternative algebra. Then (A, α) is an A -module with the action $x * m = \mu(\alpha(x), m)$ for any $x, m \in A$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) * (x * m) &= \alpha(x) * \mu(\alpha(x), m) \\ &= \mu(\alpha^2(x), \mu(\alpha(x), m)) \\ &= \mu(\mu(\alpha(x), \alpha(x)), \alpha(m)) \\ &= \mu(\alpha(\mu(x, x)), \alpha(m)) \\ &= \mu(x, x) * \alpha(m). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.2. *Let (M, μ_M, β) and $(M', \mu_{M'}, \beta')$ be two modules over a left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebra (A, μ, α) . A linear map $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is said to be a morphism of left (resp. right) Hom-alternative modules if, for any $x \in A$ and every $m \in M$,*

$$f(\mu_M(x, m)) = \mu_{M'}(x, f(m)) \quad \left[\text{resp. } f(\mu_M(m, x)) = \mu_{M'}(f(m), x) \right]. \quad (17)$$

Example 3.3. Any morphism of left (resp. right) Hom-alternative algebras is a morphism of left (resp. right) Hom-alternative modules.

Definition 3.3. *Let (M, μ_M, β) be a Module over a left Hom-alternative algebra (A, μ, α) . We call module Hom-associator associated to the module M , the trilinear map $as(\alpha, \beta)$, defined by: for all $x, y \in A$ and $m \in M$,*

$$as(\alpha, \beta)(x, y, m) = \mu_M(\alpha(x), \mu_M(y, m)) - \mu_M(\mu(x, y), \beta(m)). \quad (18)$$

Using the *module Hom-associator*, the condition (16) may be written as:

$$\text{as}(\alpha, \beta)(x, x, m) = 0. \quad (19)$$

Remark 3.1. The Hom-associator as_α , associated to a Hom-associative algebra (A, μ, α) is obtained by taking $\beta = \alpha$ in (18).

Theorem 3.1. Let (A, μ, α) be a left Hom-alternative algebra; (M, μ_M, β_M) and (N, μ_N, β_N) be two A -modules. Define the bilinear map $\rho : A \otimes M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ and the linear map $\beta : M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ as

$$\rho(x \otimes m \otimes n) = \mu_M(x, m) \otimes \mu_N(x, n) \text{ and } \beta(m \otimes n) = \beta_M(m) \otimes \beta_N(n). \quad (20)$$

Then $(M \otimes N, \rho, \beta)$ is an A -module.

Proof. For any $x, y \in A$ and every $m, n \in M$, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \circ (\mu \otimes \beta)(x \otimes y \otimes m \otimes n) &= \rho(\mu(x, y) \otimes \beta_M(m) \otimes \beta_N(n)) \\ &= \mu_M(\mu(x, y), \beta_M(m)) \otimes \mu_N(\mu(x, y), \beta_N(n)) \\ &= \mu_M(\alpha(x), \mu_M(y, m)) \otimes \mu_N(\alpha(x), \mu_N(y, n)) \\ &= \rho(\alpha(x) \otimes \mu_M(y, m) \otimes \mu_N(y, n)) \\ &= \rho(\alpha(x) \otimes \rho(y \otimes m \otimes n)) \\ &= \rho \circ (\alpha \otimes \rho)(x \otimes y \otimes m \otimes n). \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. $\square$

For the rest of this section, (M, μ_M, β) is a module over a left Hom-alternative algebra (A, μ, α) and we set $\tilde{\mu}_M = \mu_M \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M)$.

Proposition 3.1. The triple $(M, \tilde{\mu}_M, \beta)$ is another module over A .

Proof. Using $\mu_M(\alpha(x), \mu_M(x, m)) = \mu_M(\mu(x, x), \beta(m))$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_M(\alpha(x), \tilde{\mu}_M(x, m)) &= \tilde{\mu}_M(\alpha(x), \mu_M(\alpha^2(x), m)) \\ &= \mu_M(\alpha^3(x), \mu_M(\alpha^2(x), m)) \\ &= \mu_M(\mu(\alpha^2(x), \alpha^2(x)), \beta(m)) \\ &= \mu_M(\alpha^2 \mu(x, x), \beta(m)) \\ &= \tilde{\mu}_M(\mu(x, x), \beta(m)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

We have a similar formulation for modules over right Hom-alternative algebras.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f : (M, \mu_M, \beta) \rightarrow (M', \mu_{M'}, \beta')$ be a morphism of modules over a left Hom-alternative algebra (A, μ, α) . Then, $f : (M, \tilde{\mu}_M, \beta) \rightarrow (M', \tilde{\mu}_{M'}, \beta')$ is a morphism of modules over the left Hom-alternative algebra (A, μ, α) .

Proof. Using the equality $f \circ \mu_M = \mu_{M'} \circ (Id_A \otimes f)$, one has for any $x \in A$ and all $m \in M$, $f(\tilde{\mu}_M(x, m)) = f(\mu_M(\alpha^2(x), m)) = \mu_{M'}(\alpha^2(x), f(m)) = \tilde{\mu}_{M'}(x, f(m))$. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *We have the following identity: for any $x, y \in A$ and any $m \in M$,*

$$\mu_M(\alpha(x), \mu_M(y, m)) - \mu_M(\mu(x, y), \beta(m)) + \mu_M(\alpha(y), \mu_M(x, m)) - \mu_M(\mu(y, x), \beta(m)) = 0. \quad (21)$$

Proof. By assumption (M, μ_M, β) is a left Hom-alternative module i.e. $as(\alpha, \beta)(x, x, m) = 0$, for any $x \in A$ and $m \in M$. The relation (21) follows by expanding $as(\alpha, \beta)(x+y, x+y, m) = 0$, for any $x, y \in A$ and any $m \in M$. $\square$

Remark 3.2. *The condition (21) is equivalent to $as(\alpha, \beta)(x, y, m) + as(\alpha, \beta)(y, x, m) = 0$, for all $x, y \in A$ and $m \in M$. Now, taking $as(\alpha, \beta)(x, y, m) - as(\alpha, \beta)(y, x, m) = 0$, we obtain the relation connecting A -modules and $L(A)$ -modules ([3]), where $L(A)$ is the Hom-Lie algebra associated to the Hom-associative algebra A .*

Here is an extension of Lemma 2.1.

Proposition 3.3. *$(M, -\mu_M, \beta)$ is a module over the left Hom-alternative algebra $(A, -\mu, \alpha)$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward and comes from Lemma 2.1 and Definition 3.1. $\square$

4 Hom-Poisson comodules

In this section, we construct some Poisson comodules and prove that twisting the module structure map of a Hom-Poisson comodule we get another one.

4.1 Hom-(coassociative) Lie comodules

Definition 4.1 ([20]). *Let (C, δ, α) be a Hom-coassociative coalgebra and (M, β) be a Hom-module. A C -comodule structure on M consists of a linear map (called structure map) $\Delta : M \rightarrow C \otimes M$, $m \mapsto \sum m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$ such that $\Delta \circ \beta = (\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta$ and $(\alpha \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta$.*

Lemma 4.1 ([20]). *Consider a Hom-coassociative coalgebra (C, δ, α) and a C -comodule (M, β) with structure map $\Delta : M \rightarrow C \otimes M$. Then, the map $\tilde{\Delta} = (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Delta : M \rightarrow C \otimes M$ is a structure map of another C -comodule structure on M .*

The following definition is the Hom-type of the one given in [19].

Definition 4.2 ([3]). *Let (L, γ, α) be a Hom-Lie coalgebra and (M, β) be a Hom-module. If there exists a linear map $\Gamma : M \rightarrow L \otimes M$ such that*

$$\Gamma \circ \beta = (\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma \text{ and } (\gamma \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma = (\alpha \otimes \Gamma) \circ \Gamma - (\tau \otimes Id_M) \circ (\alpha \otimes \Gamma) \circ \Gamma, \quad (22)$$

then M is called a L -comodule.

Remark 4.1.

1) If $\alpha = Id_L$ and $\beta = Id_M$, we recover the definition of Lie comodules ([19]).

2) The conditions (22) may be respectively written as

$$(\beta(v))_{(-1)} \otimes (\beta(v))_{(0)} = \alpha(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta(v_{(0)}), \quad (23)$$

$$v_{(-1)(1)} \otimes v_{(-1)(2)} \otimes \beta(v_{(0)}) = \alpha(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(-1)} \otimes v_{(0)(0)} - v_{(0)(-1)} \otimes \alpha(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(0)}, \quad (24)$$

where $\gamma(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$ and $\Gamma(v) = v_{(-1)} \otimes v_{(0)}$ (without summation symbol, for simplicity).

The following statement is the Lie-type of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. Let (L, γ, α) be a Hom-Lie coalgebra and M be a L -comodule with structure map $\Gamma : M \rightarrow L \otimes M$. Define the map $\tilde{\Gamma} = (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Gamma : M \rightarrow L \otimes M$. Then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a structure map of another L -comodule structure on M .

Proof. For any $m \in M$, we have on one hand:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Gamma} \circ \beta)(m) &= (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Gamma(\beta(m)) \\ &= (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma(m) \\ &= (\alpha^3 \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma(m) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Gamma(m) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \tilde{\Gamma}(m); \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma \otimes \beta) \circ \tilde{\Gamma}(m) &= (\gamma \otimes \beta) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Gamma(m) \\ &= (\gamma \circ \alpha^2 \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma(m) \\ &= ((\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes Id_M) \circ (\gamma \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma(m) \text{ (using (10))} \\ &= ((\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes Id_M) \left[(\alpha \otimes \Gamma) \circ \Gamma(m) - (\tau \otimes Id_M) \circ (\alpha \otimes \Gamma) \circ \Gamma(m) \right] \\ &= ((\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes Id_M) \left[(\alpha \otimes \Gamma)(m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}) - (\tau \otimes Id_M)(\alpha(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \Gamma(m_{(0)})) \right] \\ &= ((\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes Id_M) \left[\alpha(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)(0)} - m_{(0)(-1)} \otimes \alpha(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)(0)} \right] \\ &= \alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(m_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)(0)} - \alpha^2(m_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)(0)} \\ &= \alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \left[(\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Gamma(m_{(0)}) \right] - (\tau \otimes Id_M) \left(\alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \Gamma(m_{(0)}) \right) \\ &= \alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}(m_{(0)}) - (\tau \otimes Id_M) \left(\alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}_M(m_{(0)}) \right) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \left(\alpha^2(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)} \right) - (\tau \otimes Id_M) \circ (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \left(\alpha^2(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)} \right) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Gamma(m) - (\tau \otimes Id_M) \circ (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Gamma(m) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ \tilde{\Gamma}(m) - (\tau \otimes Id_M) \circ (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ \tilde{\Gamma}(m). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(M, \tilde{\Gamma}, \beta)$ is another L -comodule. $\square$

We have the following result which is the Hom-analogue of Lemma 1 in [19].

Proposition 4.1. Let (V, β_V) and (W, β_W) be two modules over the Hom-Lie coalgebra (L, γ, α) with structure maps $\Gamma_V : v \mapsto \sum v_{(-1)} \otimes v_{(0)}$ and $\Gamma_W : w \mapsto \sum w_{(-1)} \otimes w_{(0)}$, respectively. If we set $M = V \otimes W, \beta = \beta_V \otimes \beta_W$ and

$$\Gamma_M : M \otimes M, \quad v \otimes w \mapsto \sum [\alpha(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w) + \alpha(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V(v) \otimes w_{(0)}],$$

then (M, β) is a comodule over L .

Proof. We must prove (22) for Γ_M and β . We have, for any $v \in V$ and all $w \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_M \circ \beta(v \otimes w) &= \Gamma_M(\beta_V(v) \otimes \beta_W(w)) \\ &= \alpha((\beta_V(v))_{(-1)}) \otimes (\beta_V(v))_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W^2(w) + \alpha((\beta_W(w))_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes (\beta_W(w))_{(0)} \\ &= \alpha((\beta_V(v))_{(-1)}) \otimes (\beta_V(v))_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W^2(w) + (\tau \otimes Id)[\beta_V^2(v) \otimes \alpha((\beta_W(w))_{(-1)}) \otimes (\beta_W(w))_{(0)}] \\ &= \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V(v_{(0)}) \otimes \beta_W^2(w) + (\tau \otimes Id)[\beta_V^2(v) \otimes \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_W(w_{(0)})] \text{ (by (23))} \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \beta_V \otimes \beta_W)[\alpha(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w) + \alpha(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V(v) \otimes w_{(0)}] \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma_M(v \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

This prove the first equality of (22). Next, we set $L = (\alpha \otimes \Gamma_M) \circ \Gamma_M(v \otimes w)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (\alpha \otimes \Gamma_M)(\alpha(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w) + \alpha(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V(v) \otimes w_{(0)}) \\ &= \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \Gamma_M(v_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w)) + \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \Gamma_M(\beta_V(v) \otimes w_{(0)}) \\ &= \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha(v_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(0)} \otimes \beta_W^2(w) + \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha[(\beta_W(w))_{(-1)}] \otimes \beta_V(v_{(0)}) \otimes (\beta_W(w))_{(0)} \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha[(\beta_V(v))_{(-1)}] \otimes (\beta_V(v))_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w_{(0)}) + \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha(w_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes w_{(0)(0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

To finish we again set $P = (\alpha \otimes \Gamma_M) \circ \Gamma_M(v \otimes w) - (\tau \otimes Id) \circ (\alpha \otimes \Gamma_M) \circ \Gamma_M(v \otimes w)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha(v_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(0)} \otimes \beta_W^2(w) + \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha[(\beta_W(w))_{(-1)}] \otimes \beta_V(v_{(0)}) \otimes (\beta_W(w))_{(0)} \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha[(\beta_V(v))_{(-1)}] \otimes (\beta_V(v))_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w_{(0)}) + \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha(w_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes w_{(0)(0)} \\ &\quad - \alpha(v_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(0)} \otimes \beta_W^2(w) - \alpha[(\beta_W(w))_{(-1)}] \otimes \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V(v_{(0)}) \otimes (\beta_W(w))_{(0)} \\ &\quad - \alpha[(\beta_V(v))_{(-1)}] \otimes \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes (\beta_V(v))_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w_{(0)}) - \alpha(w_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes w_{(0)(0)} \\ &= \{ \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha(v_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(0)} \otimes \beta_W^2(w) + \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha(w_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes w_{(0)(0)} \\ &\quad - \alpha(v_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(0)} \otimes \beta_W^2(w) - \alpha(w_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes w_{(0)(0)} \} \\ &\quad + \{ \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha[(\beta_W(w))_{(-1)}] \otimes \beta_V(v_{(0)}) \otimes (\beta_W(w))_{(0)} \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha[(\beta_V(v))_{(-1)}] \otimes (\beta_V(v))_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w_{(0)}) \\ &\quad - \alpha[(\beta_W(w))_{(-1)}] \otimes \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V(v_{(0)}) \otimes (\beta_W(w))_{(0)} \\ &\quad - \alpha[(\beta_V(v))_{(-1)}] \otimes \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes (\beta_V(v))_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w_{(0)}) \} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Using (23) and some computational manipulations, one readily verify that the content of the second accolades of the right hand side of the equality (26) is zero. Then, the latter simply reads :

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha(v_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(0)} \otimes \beta_W^2(w) + \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha(w_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes w_{(0)(0)} \\
&\quad - \alpha(v_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(0)} \otimes \beta_W^2(w) - \alpha(w_{(0)(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes w_{(0)(0)} \\
&= (\alpha \otimes \alpha \otimes I)(\alpha(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(-1)} \otimes v_{(0)(0)} - v_{(0)(-1)} \otimes \alpha(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)(0)}) \otimes \beta_W^2(w) \\
&\quad + (\alpha \otimes \alpha \otimes \tau)(\alpha(w_{(-1)}) \otimes w_{(0)(-1)} \otimes w_{(0)(0)} \otimes \beta_V^2(v) - w_{(0)(-1)} \otimes \alpha(w_{(-1)}) \otimes w_{(0)(0)} \otimes \beta_V^2(v)) \\
&= \alpha(v_{(-1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha((v_{(-1)(2)}) \otimes \beta_V(v_{(0)})) \otimes \beta_W^2(w) \\
&\quad + \alpha((w_{(-1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha((w_{(-1)(2)}) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes \beta_W(w_{(0)})) \text{ (by (24))} \\
&= \gamma(\alpha(v_{(-1)})) \otimes \beta_V(v_{(0)}) \otimes \beta_W^2(w) + \gamma(\alpha(w_{(-1)})) \otimes \beta_V^2(v) \otimes \beta_W(w_{(0)}) \text{ (by comultiplicativity)} \\
&= (\gamma \otimes \beta_V \otimes \beta_W)(\alpha(v_{(-1)}) \otimes v_{(0)} \otimes \beta_W(w) + \alpha(w_{(-1)}) \otimes \beta_V(v) \otimes w_{(0)}) \\
&= (\gamma \otimes \beta_V \otimes \beta_W)\Gamma_M(v \otimes w) \\
&= (\gamma \otimes \beta)\Gamma_M(v \otimes w).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (22) holds. This completes the proof. $\square$

The following Corollary is an analogue of Corollary 1 of [19] which states that if (L, γ) is a Lie co-algebra; and if V and W are two comodules over L , with structure maps Δ_V and Δ_W respectively. Then $V \otimes W$ is a comodule over L with structure map $\Delta_{V \otimes W} = \Delta_V \otimes I + (\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes \Delta_W)$. A direct consequence is that $L \otimes L$ is a module over L .

Corollary 4.1. *Let (L, γ, α) be a Hom-Lie coalgebra. Then $(L \otimes L, \alpha \otimes \alpha)$ is a comodule over (L, γ, α) .*

Let us now give the following definition.

Definition 4.3. *A non-empty subset M' of a comodule (M, β_M) over the Hom-Lie coalgebra (L, γ, α) , with structure map Γ , is said to be a subcomodule of M , if $\beta_M(M') \subset M'$ and $\Gamma(M') \subset L \otimes M'$.*

Proposition 4.2. *Let (L, γ, α) be a Hom-Lie coalgebra, V be an L -comodule. Then, there exists a direct sum decomposition of Hom-Lie comodules as $V \otimes V = V_+ \oplus V_-$, where $V_+ = \{s \in V \otimes V : \tau(s) = s\}$ and $V_- = \{s \in V \otimes V : \tau(s) = -s\}$.*

Proof. It follows from the proof of Proposition 2 in [19] by observing that V_+ and V_- are subcomodules of $V \otimes V$. $\square$

4.2 Hom-Poisson comodules

Definition 4.4. *Let $(A, \delta, \gamma, \alpha)$ be a cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra and (M, β) be a Hom-module. A Hom-Poisson comodule on M consists of two linear maps $\Delta : M \rightarrow A \otimes M$ and $\Gamma : M \rightarrow A \otimes M$ such that (M, Δ, β) is a comodule over the Hom-coassociative coalgebra (A, δ, α) and (M, Γ, β) is a comodule over the Hom-Lie coalgebra (A, γ, α) satisfying*

$$(\alpha \otimes \Delta) \circ \Gamma = (\gamma \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta + (\tau \otimes Id_M) \circ (\alpha \otimes \Gamma) \circ \Delta, \quad (27)$$

$$(\delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma = (\alpha \otimes \Gamma) \circ \Delta + (\tau \otimes Id_M) \circ (\alpha \otimes \Gamma) \circ \Delta. \quad (28)$$

The maps Δ and Γ will be called the structure maps of the Hom-Poisson comodule and the quadruple $(M, \Delta, \Gamma, \beta)$ will be called a Hom-Poisson comodule over $(A, \delta, \gamma, \alpha)$.

Remark 4.2. The conditions (27) and (28) can be respectively written as

$$\alpha(m_{[-1]}) \otimes m_{[0](-1)} \otimes m_{[0](0)} = m_{(-1)(1)} \otimes m_{(-1)(2)} \otimes \beta(m_{(0)}) + m_{(0)[-1]} \otimes \alpha(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)[0]}, \quad (29)$$

$$m_{[-1]1} \otimes m_{[-1]2} \otimes \beta(m_{[0]}) = \alpha(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)[-1]} \otimes m_{(0)[0]} + m_{(0)[-1]} \otimes \alpha(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)[0]}, \quad (30)$$

where we set $\delta(x) = x_1 \otimes x_2$, $\gamma(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$, $\Delta(m) = m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$ and $\Gamma(m) = m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}$ (without summation symbol, for simplicity).

Definition 4.5. A morphism of Hom-Poisson comodules is a morphism of both underlying comodule structures over the Hom-coassociative coalgebras and the Hom-Lie coalgebras.

Proposition 4.3. When $(M, \Delta, \Gamma, \beta)$ is a comodule over the cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra $(A, \delta, \gamma, \alpha)$, then $(M, -\Delta, -\Gamma, \beta)$ is a comodule over the cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra $(A, -\delta, -\gamma, \alpha)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(A, \delta, \gamma, \alpha)$ be a cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra and $(M, \Delta, \Gamma, \beta)$ be a Hom-Poisson comodule. Then

$$\tilde{\Delta} = (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Delta : M \longrightarrow A \otimes M, \quad \tilde{\Gamma} = (\alpha^2 \otimes Id_M) \circ \Gamma : M \longrightarrow A \otimes M, \quad (31)$$

are structure maps of another Hom-Poisson comodule structure on M .

Proof. First of all, we set $Id_M = I$. For any $m \in M$, we have on one hand :

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Delta}) \circ \tilde{\Gamma}(m) &= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Delta}) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes I) \circ \Gamma(m) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Delta}) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes I)(m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}) \\ &= \alpha^3(m_{[-1]}) \otimes [(\alpha^2 \otimes I) \circ \Delta(m_{[0]})] \\ &= \alpha^3(m_{[-1]}) \otimes \alpha^2(m_{[0](-1)}) \otimes m_{[0](0)} \\ &= ((\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes I)(\alpha(m_{[-1]}) \otimes m_{[0](-1)} \otimes m_{[0](0)}) \\ &= ((\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes I)(m_{(-1)(1)} \otimes m_{(-1)(2)} \otimes \beta(m_{(0)}) + m_{(0)[-1]} \otimes \alpha(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)[0]}) \text{ (by (29))} \\ &= \alpha^2(m_{(-1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(m_{(-1)(2)}) \otimes \beta(m_{(0)}) + \alpha^2(m_{(0)[-1]}) \otimes \alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)[0]} \\ &= [(\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2}(m_{(-1)(1)} \otimes m_{(-1)(2)})] \otimes \beta(m_{(0)}) + (\tau \otimes I)(\alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(m_{(0)[-1]}) \otimes m_{(0)[0]}) \\ &= [((\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2} \circ \gamma)(m_{(-1)})] \otimes \beta(m_{(0)}) + (\tau \otimes I)[\alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes (\alpha^2 \otimes I)(m_{(0)[-1]} \otimes m_{(0)[0]})] \\ &= \gamma(\alpha^2(m_{(-1)})) \otimes \beta(m_{(0)}) + (\tau \otimes I)[\alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes (\alpha^2 \otimes I) \circ \Gamma(m_{(0)})] \\ &= (\gamma \otimes \beta)(\alpha^2(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)}) + (\tau \otimes I)(\alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}(m_{(0)})) \\ &= (\gamma \otimes \beta) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes I) \circ \Delta(m) + (\tau \otimes I)(\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma})(\alpha^2 \otimes I)\Delta(m) \\ &= (\gamma \otimes \beta) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(m) + (\tau \otimes I) \circ (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(m); \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand :

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta \otimes \beta) \circ \tilde{\Gamma}(m) &= (\delta \otimes \beta) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes I) \circ \Gamma(m) \\ &= (\delta \otimes \beta)(\alpha^2(m_{[-1]}) \otimes m_{[0]}) \\ &= [\delta(\alpha^2(m_{[-1]}))] \otimes \beta(m_{[0]}) \\ &= (\alpha^2(m_{[-1]}))_1 \otimes (\alpha^2(m_{[-1]}))_2 \otimes \beta(m_{[0]}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha^2(m_{[-1]1}) \otimes \alpha^2(m_{[-1]2}) \otimes \beta(m_{[0]}) \\
&= ((\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes I)(m_{[-1]1} \otimes m_{[-1]2} \otimes \beta(m_{[0]})) \\
&= ((\alpha^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes I)(\alpha(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)[-1]} \otimes m_{(0)[0]} + m_{(0)[-1]} \otimes \alpha(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)[0]})(\text{by (30)}) \\
&= \alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(m_{(0)[-1]}) \otimes m_{(0)[0]} + \alpha^2(m_{(0)[-1]}) \otimes \alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)[0]} \\
&= \alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes (\alpha^2 \otimes I) \circ \Gamma(m_{(0)}) + (\tau \otimes I)(\alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha^2(m_{(0)[-1]}) \otimes m_{(0)[0]}) \\
&= \alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}(m_{(0)}) + (\tau \otimes I)[\alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes ((\alpha^2 \otimes I) \circ \Gamma(m_{(0)}))] \\
&= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma})(\alpha^2(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)}) + (\tau \otimes I)(\alpha^3(m_{(-1)}) \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}(m_{(0)})) \\
&= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes I) \circ \Delta(m) + (\tau \otimes I) \circ (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma})(\alpha^2(m_{(-1)}) \otimes m_{(0)}) \\
&= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(m) + (\tau \otimes I) \circ (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ (\alpha^2 \otimes I) \circ \Delta(m) \\
&= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(m) + (\tau \otimes I) \circ (\alpha \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(m).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have another Hom-Poisson comodule structure on M . $\square$

In the following two results, $\tilde{\Delta}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are given by (31).

Corollary 4.2. *Let $(A, \delta, \gamma, \alpha)$ be a cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra. If $(M, \Delta, \Gamma, \beta)$ is a Hom-Poisson comodule over the cocommutative Hom-Poisson coalgebra $(A, \delta \circ \alpha, \gamma \circ \alpha, \alpha)$, then $(M, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \beta)$ is a comodule over $(A, \delta, \gamma, \alpha)$.*

Proposition 4.4. *Let $f : (M, \Delta, \Gamma, \beta) \rightarrow (M', \Delta', \Gamma', \beta')$ be a morphism of modules over the Hom-Poisson coalgebra $(A, \delta, \gamma, \alpha)$. Then, $f : (M, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \beta) \rightarrow (M', \tilde{\Delta}', \tilde{\Gamma}', \beta')$ is a morphism of comodules over the Hom-Poisson coalgebra $(A, \delta, \gamma, \alpha)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The second author is supported by the **nlaga project** and this work was done during his visit at IMSP (Port-Novo, Benin) funded by the **Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst (DAAD)**. To both institutions he expresses his gratitude and thanks.

References

- [1] Arnold V. I., *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Grad. Texts in Math. 60, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] Bakayoko I., *Modules over color Hom-Poisson algebras*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. Vol. 8, Num. 1 (2014), 1-6.
- [3] Bakayoko I., *L-modules, L-comodules and Hom-Lie quasi-bialgebras*, Afr. Diaspora J. Math., Vol. 17 (2014), 49-64.
- [4] Bordemann M.; Elchinger O.; Makhlouf A., *Twisting Poisson algebras, coPoisson algebras and Quantization*, arXiv:13072612v1, May 3, 2012.
- [5] Drinfel'd V. G., *Quantum groups*, in Proc. ICM (Berkeley, 1986), p.798-820, AMS Providence, RI, 1987.

- [6] Elhamdadi M. and Makhlouf A., *Deformations of Hom-alternative and Hom-Malcev algebras*, arXiv :1006.2499, June 12, 2010.
- [7] Frenkel E. and Ben-Zvi D., *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, Math. Surveys and Monographs 88, 2nd ed., AMS, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [8] Gerstenhaber M., *On the deformation of rings and algebras*, Ann. Math. 79 (1964), 59-103.
- [9] Hartwig J. T.; Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations*, J. Algebra 295 (2006), no. 2, 314-361.
- [10] Kontsevich M., *Deformations quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. 66 (2003), 157-216.
- [11] Larsson D., *Global and arithmetic Hom-Lie algebras*, Uppsala Univ. UUDM. Report 2008:44, <http://www.math.uu.se/research/pub/preprints.php>.
- [12] Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities*, J. Algebra 288 (2005), no. 2, 321-344.
- [13] Makhlouf A., *Hom-alternative algebras and Hom-Jordan algebras*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, Vol. 8 (2010), 177-190.
- [14] Makhlouf A.; Silvestrov S., *Notes on Formal Deformations of Hom-associative algebras and Hom-Lie algebras*, Forum Math., 22 (2010), no. 4, 715-739.
- [15] Shaller P.; Strobl T., *Poisson structure induced (topological) field theories*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9 (1994), 3129-3136.
- [16] Vaisman I., *Lecture on the geometry of Poisson manifolds*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1994.
- [17] Yau D., *Noncommutative Hom-Poisson algebras*, ArXiv:1010.3408v1, October 17, 2010.
- [18] Yau D., *Hom-bialgebras and comodule Hom-algebras* Int. Electron. J. Algebra, Vol. 8 (2010), 45-64.
- [19] Yun Z. L., *Lie comodules and the construction of Lie bialgebras*, Science in China Press, Jun 2008, Vol. 51, No 6, 1017-1026.
- [20] Zhang T., *comodule Hom-coalgebras*, ArXiv:1301.4152, January 17, 2013.